

IT (Intelligent Technology) GIRDER BRIDGE SYSTEM

Prof. Jang-Ho Jay Kim

Yonsei University School of Civil and Environmental Engineering





Background

Design Philosophy of IT GIRDER

Series Experimental Performance Verification

Structural Analysis and Discussion





BACKGROUND

Prestressed Concrete Girder

Proportion of Bridge Type in Highway In Korea (1998)



- Rapid improvement after WW2 -Constructability and economic efficiency, easy maintenance
- ~35m span bridge
- Standard section design with concrete of 40MPa for 25~35m
- Extended application(~45m span) of PSC girder for improvement in



Design Philosophy of IT GIRDER

Cross Section and Details of IT Girder



- Performance recovery by removal of unbonded PS and compressive force on upper section





***** Advantages of IT Girder

Safety	Constructability	Economic	
Concrete-Steel hybrid member for safety	Formwork same throughout the span	*Relatively inexpensive	
 *Box type cross section for high resistance to torsion load 	 Reinforcement work relatively simple General PSC girder construction procedure 	 Construction cost Depth-to-span length minimized 	
 Buckling safety considered during PS application 	 Construction procedure used Lowered self-weight and prevented overturning 	Additional rehabilitation cost unnecessary due to recovery of plastic strain	





***** Material Properties

W/B S/a		Air	Unit Weight (kg/m ³)				SP	
(%)	(%)	(%)	W	С	F/A	S	G	(%)
31.7	44.8	4.0	165	468	52	733	909	0.9

- Max. coarse aggregate size of 19mm for workability
- Concrete design strength of 45MPa
- Superplasticizer for workability

Concrete	f _{ck} =45MPA	
I-type Steel	SM 490	$f_{py} = 320 MPA$
Tendon	SWPC 7BN	f _{PY} =1600Mpa



***** Specimen Dimension



거더 단부에서의 거리 2.000

거더 단부에서의 거리 0.000







거더 단부에서의 거리 0.200

375 50 253 50 375

거더 단부에서의 거리 17.000





거더 단부에서의 거리 6,000



거더 단부에서의 거리 24,950

거더 단부에서의 거리 8.000



YONSEI CONSEL

Experimental Performance Verification * Measurement Locates and Gauge Types F B D E **e** D e ÷, CSU1 CSU2 ESU1 ESU2 DSU1 DSU2 CSM1 CSM2 ESM1 ESM2 DSM1 DSM2 B, F А E CSB1 CSB2 ESB1 ESB2 DSB1 DSB2 CC0 DC0 EC0 DC1 CC1 EC1 DC2 CC2 EC2 CC3 DC3 EC3 CC4 DC4 EC4 DC5 CC5 EC5 CC6 DC6 EC6 DC7 CC7 EC7 DC8 CC8 EC8 CC9 DC9 EC9 CC10 DC10 EC10 CC11 DC11 EC11 EC12 CC12 DC12 DST1 DST2 DST3 ALV 1. GLV8 BLV 2, FLV7 CLV 3 ELV 6 DLV 5 DLV **YONSEI** CONSEL

***** Gauge Types





***** Experimental Photos









Test Setup





Residual Strain

3 Step

Strain

Stage	Load (kN)	Deflection (mm)		
		0.5L	0.3L	0.2L
Step 1	1,615	187.0	148.7	106.2
Step 2	0	18.7	15.5	11.0
Step 3	0	7.7	7.0	5.2
Step 4	2,000	246.8	195.2	139.5

YONSEI CONSEL

Load-Strain Curve



***** Global Strain Results





*** I BEAM Strain Results**



*** FEM Analysis Results**



*** FEM Analysis Results**

0





Test and Analysis Result Comparison







Residual deflection(18.7mm) recovery about 60%(11.0mm)

Secause of the low self-weight by using hollow section, IT girder is expected to be used for 50~60m span, or even longer span





Thank you very much, 多谢

